## PANDRH Steering Committee February 12, 2004 Madrid, Spain

Report of the Bioequivalence Working Group Justina A. Molzon CDER/U.S. FDA

## 4<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Working Group

Mexico City
4-5 August 2003

## PANDRH Steering Committee Priorities

### **Urgent Issues:**

- GMP (FDA)
- BA/BE (FDA)
- GCP (ANMAT)
- Counterfeit (ANVISA)



## Work plan of Working Group

- Assessment of BE in countries
- Selection of team members
- Working group meeting
- Regulatory needs survey
- Selection of training materials
- Regional seminars

## BE Working Group Members

- Contact Person: Justina Molzon (FDA)
- Topic Lead: Lizzie Sanchez (FDA)
- ALIFAR: Silvia Giarcovich
- Argentina: Ricardo Bolaños
- Brazil: Silvia Storpitis
- Canada: Conrad Pereira
- Chile: Ana Maria Concha
- Costa Rica: Lidiette Fonseca
- FIFARMA: Loreta Marquez
- Jamaica: Eugenie Brown
- Venezuela: Mara de Levy/Irene Goncalves
- USP: Roger Williams
- University of Texas: Salomon Stavchansky



## BE Working Group Meetings

- September 14, 2000—Washington, DC
- December 3-4, 2002—Caracas, Venezuela
- February 14-15, 2003—Brasilia, Brazil
- August 11-12, 2003—Mexico City, Mexico



- Focused on selection of training topics
- Developed a modular training program
- Determined resource materials to support the training modules
- Materials translated into Spanish

## **BA/BE Training Modules**

#### **Module I**

**BA/BE Approaches** 

#### Module 2

In Vitro Methods

Biopharmaceutics Classification System

In Vitro Dissolution

#### Module 3

In Vivo Methods

#### **Clinical Trial Protocols**

**Assay Methods** 

Waiver of in vivo BA/BE Studies

#### **Module 4**

Data Analysis and Reporting

Statistical Analysis (In vitro and In vivo)

Pharmacokinetic and Statistical Software

Report Format (Written and Electronic)



## 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting of the Bioequivalence Working Group

- Topics for Discussion:
- Criteria for prioritizing BE studies in countries where they are currently not being conducted
- Criteria for selecting BE drug comparator
- Indicators to be used by the WG/BE to follow up the implementation of BE in the Americas



# 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the Bioequivalence Working Group

- Reviewed Recommendations from PANDRH III
- Defined the group's MISSION
  - The working group should contribute to harmonized bioequivalence criteria for the interchangeability of pharmaceutical products in the Americas
- Prioritized objectives

## Prioritized Objectives

- Develop science based criteria for products requiring in vitro and/or in vivo BE studies and those not requiring BE studies
- Develop prioritized lists (core nucleus/recommended) of those pharmaceutical products where in vivo BE studies are necessary
- 3. Develop a list of pharmaceutical products where in vivo BE studies are not necessary
- 4. Develop a list of comparator drug products for use in the Americas region



- Listed science based criteria for products requiring in vitro and/or in vivo BE studies and those not requiring BE
- Developed prioritized list of pharmaceutical products where in vivo BE studies are necessary
- Documents will be posted on PANDRH web for comment (under construction)

- BE Study
  - Questionnaire was distributed
  - Responses:
    - 9 (50%) from Spanish speaking countries
    - 2 from English speaking countries
  - Remaining countries encouraged to provide requested information

- Consolidated document on criteria for BE studies
- List of priority products
- Members in process of reviewing the documents for next meeting
- Comments to be incorporated into the document

- Regional Comparator
- Subgroup met January 12, 2004 and advanced the discussion of the subject
- Three documents developed
  - ALIFAR opinion on the topic
  - Statement of Reference Product (USP)
  - Product of reference: situational analysis (FIFARMA)
- WG members sent documents for review

- BE Seminars
  - In process of organizing BE seminar in Argentina
- Next meeting of the WG to be held at same time as seminar

- Main topic for SC consideration—Regional Comparator
- Presentation to the SC for information, discussion and comments
- Issue will be discussed with WG
- Then seek the SC approval