Taller sobre creación de mapas de evidencia para la toma de decisiones en políticas de salud pública

Mapa de Evidencias (Referencias, fuentes de información)

Verônica Abdala
Bibliotecóloga, gerente Productos
y Servicios de Información, BIREME/OPS/OMS
abdalave@paho.org

Mariana Cabral Schveitzer, PhD
Professora Escola Paulista de Medicina, UNIFESP
Consórcio Acadêmico Brasileiro de Saúde Integrativa, CABSIN
mariana.cabral@unifesp.br

Mapa de Evidencias ¿De dónde surgió?

International Initiative for Impact Evaluation

Principal Referencia: “Evidence Gap Map”

https://www.3ieimpact.org/evidence-hub/evidence-gap-maps

https://www.unicef-irc.org/evidence-gap-maps



Mapas de Evidencia

Una visión general de la evidencia existente que contribuye a la Traducción del Conocimiento en Salud

https://bvsalud.org/mapa-de-evidencias/

44

MAPAS

Acerca

Mapa de evidências terapias complementares e integrativas para Doenças Cardiovasculares

O mapa apresenta uma visão geral das evidências sobre os efeitos das Práticas Complementares e Integrativas de Saúde (PICS) para Doenças Cardiovasculares. A partir da caracterização de centenas de estudos... [...]

Mapa de Evidências Efetividade Clínica da Medicina Antroposófica. Evidence Map Clinical Effectiveness of Anthroposophical Medicine.

O mapa apresenta uma visão geral das evidências sobre os efeitos da Medicina Antroposófica aplicada à Saúde. A partir de uma ampla busca bibliográfica foram incluídos no mapa 33 estudos... [...]

Mapa de Evidências sobre estratégias para prevenção e tratamento do excesso de peso infantil

O mapa apresenta uma visão geral das evidências sobre estratégias voltadas para a prevenção e cuidado do excesso de peso no público infantil. A busca bibliográfica para elaboração deste mapa... [...]

Todos los mapas

Colecciones de mapas

Mapping reviews, scoping reviews, and evidence and gap maps (EGMs): the same but different— the “Big Picture” review Family.
https://systematicreviewsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13643-023-02178-5

Schveitzer MC, Abdala CVM, Portella CFS, Ghelman R. Traditional, complementary, and integrative medicine evidence map: a methodology to an overflowing field of data and noise. Rev Panam Salud Publica 45 03 May 2021
https://doi.org/10.26633/RPSP.2021.48
https://www.scielosp.org/article/rpsp/2021.v45/e48/

Fogaça LZ, Portella CFS, Ghelman R, Abdala CVM, Schveitzer MC. Mind-Body Therapies From Traditional Chinese Medicine: Evidence Map. Frontiers in Public Health 9 2021
https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2021.659075
DOI 10.3389/fpubh.2021.659075

Pereira, PAD, Abdala CVM, Portella CFS, Ghelman R, Schveitzer MC. Pediatrics masage evidence map. Complementary Therapies in Medicine 61, 2021
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2021.102774
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0965229921001151

https://www.healthsystemsevidence.org/



BUSCAR ARTIGOS

DESCRITORES

- DECS
- MESH
- ENTRÉE

REVISTA

- QUALIS CAPES

INSPIRAÇÃO

- GOOGLE ACADÊMICO
- JANE

www.biosemantics.org/jane

- SCIELO
- KNALIJ

http://knalij.com/

- sci-hub.cc

Jane
Journal/Author Name Estimator

Insert your title and/or abstract here: (or, click here to search using keywords)

Scramble Clear Show extra options

Find journals Find authors Find articles

Welcome to Jane

Have you recently written a paper, but you're not sure to which journal you should submit it? Or maybe you want to find relevant articles to cite in your paper? Or are you an editor, and do you need to find reviewers for a particular paper? Jane can help!

Just enter the title and/or abstract of the paper in the box, and click on 'Find journals', 'Find authors' or 'Find Articles'. Jane will then compare your document to millions of documents in *Medline* to find the best matching journals, authors or articles.

Keyword search

Instead of using a title or abstract, you can also search using a keyword search, similar to popular web search engines. Click here to search using keywords.

Additional information about Jane

Copyright 2007, The Biosemantics Group. Research funded by NBIC. Created and maintained by Martijn Schuemie.

OPAS 120 ANIVERSÁRIO
BIREME • OPAS • OMS 1967 - 2022 55

What is KNALIJ?

An exploration and visualization engine developed for the world largest data sources. In real time.



A very powerful tool that will help you discover knowledge and understand huge data within a blink of an eye.

Imagine that thousands of citations can be displayed within a single page in a form of graph.

iWakari Tweets

Univ of Michigan research guide for data visualization http://t.co/dcvegSNP #umich 06/06/2012

KNALIJ Article in Brentwood News http://t.co/ndPeMBjT 21/04/2012

KNALIJ gets love from the BRENTWOOD NEWS | Westside Today: http://t.co/CoY7iZzn 21/04/2012

Read more

KNALIJ Developer Tweets

KNALIJ Dev Team is improving the layout algorithm. The map will be bigger, wider and more spread out. It will be much easier to work with. 01/04/2012

Send an email to us. Tell us what you think of KNALIJ, anything you like/don't like? We appreciate your feedback and take it seriously. 25/03/2012

Today we change the default map size from 500 to 1000 articles. Enjoy your bigger map! 22/03/2012

Read more

Health & Medical News

Alzheimer's Drug Fails Its First Big Clinical Trial
Tuesday, July 24, 2012 02:17:16

Vital Signs: Insurance Sways Risk of Cancer Diagnosis
Monday, July 23, 2012 16:34:51

Vital Signs: More Abuse Seen Where Mortgage Crisis Hit Hardest
Tuesday, July 24, 2012 00:26:00

Read more

Email: **info@iwakari.com** © 2012 iWakari

Like 49 Share 85 Tweet 51 ShareThis 221 +1 7

Search for reporting guidelines

Use your browser's Back button to return to your search results

Methods for developing and reporting living evidence synthesis

Reporting guideline provided for? (i.e. exactly what the authors state in the paper)	Reporting living evidence (LE) synthesis.

Reporting guidelines for main study types

Randomised trials Extensions	CONSORT
Observational studies Extensions	STROBE
Systematic reviews Extensions	PRISMA
Study protocols PRISMA-P	SPIRIT

Paradigmas e Métodos de Pesquisa

A primeira visão de mundo reconhecida cientificamente foi o **positivismo**, que propunha uma ciência **objetiva** e neutra (Pluye, 2021). A neutralidade foi criticada e o positivismo dividido:

- *positivismo histórico*, que mantém uma postura objetiva "pura"; e o
- *pós-positivismo*, que segue na verificação de hipóteses pela metodologia hipotético-dedutiva, mas com o reconhecimento do subjetivo.

A busca pela compreensão do **subjetivo** orientou o desenvolvimento de outras visões de mundo:

- *construtivismo radical*, que admite o subjetivismo "puro";
- *construtivismo social*, caracterizado por ser principalmente indutivo;
- *pragmatismo*, cujo conhecimento está baseado na ação;
- *teoria crítica*, que se apoia nas pesquisas participativas; e
- *realismo crítico*, que busca validar teorias de médio alcance.



https://repositorio.usp.br/directbitstream/228e30da-9a5a-4467-9814-e4a5cff919a3/HOGA%2C%20L%20A%20K%20doc%20112e.pdf