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Purpose and audiences for this video

Purpose:
Present the criteria and structure for making evidence-based decisions around immunizations.

Audiences:
- Members of existing or prospective NITAGs looking to further understand how evidence-based decisions should be supported by a NITAG.

- National health policymakers who will receive recommendations from a NITAG to inform a future decision around immunization.

- Governmental, academic, or other stakeholders interested in better understanding how immunization policy decisions are supported by evidence and how NITAGs work.
Vaccine development has flourished...


"The red book through the ages", Pediatrics, 2013
...but budget increases become more and more challenging

- Governments’ fund allocation cannot keep up with requests to introduce new vaccines.
- As new vaccines become more expensive, cost-effectiveness is not guaranteed.
- Immunization decisions are more closely scrutinized.
National legislation, policies and resource allocation decisions should be informed by credible and current evidence regarding the direct and indirect impact of immunization.

“National legislation, policies and resource allocation decisions should be informed by credible and current evidence regarding the direct and indirect impact of immunization. Much of the evidence base exists but does not reach policy-makers, as those who generate the evidence are not always those who interact with these decision-makers. Collaboration between, on the one hand, technical experts who generate the evidence and, on the other, the champions of immunization who construct context-specific messages that highlight the importance of immunization within health and social services, can unequivocally articulate the value of immunization and how immunization supports equity and economic development.

All countries: Establish and sustain commitment to immunization; Inform and engage opinion leaders on the value of immunization; Strengthen national capacity to formulate evidence-based policies.
Steps of evidence-based decision making in public health

- Develop an initial statement of the issue
- Search the scientific literature and organize information
- Quantify the issue using existing data
- Develop and prioritize program options
- Implement the chosen interventions
- Evaluate the program or policy

Source: Brownson et al.
Criteria for vaccine policymaking

National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs)

• Technical resource and deliberative body

• PROVIDES RECOMMENDATIONS to policy makers and program managers to make evidence-based immunization-related policy decisions

Empowers government:

- comprehensive and integrated approach
- neutral forum
- credibility of process
- help resist pressure from interest groups

➢ Facilitates systematic and transparent country-owned processes for developing immunization policies.
In the Americas, 2016:

**20 of 35** countries have an active NITAG

Where **93%** of the total population in the region lives

**15** NITAGs meet the minimum criteria for ‘good functionality'

Source: WHO-PAHO/UNICEF JRF, July 2017
NITAG establishment

- Official and formal (e.g. decree)
- Terms of reference
- Rigorous membership selection process
- Guidelines for nomination, mode of functioning, reporting/communication
- Prior to appointment, members should complete a **declaration of interests** and sign a confidentiality agreement
NITAG membership: Size and Composition

- **10 to 15 expert** members, majority should **not** be affiliated with Ministry of Health
- Multidisciplinary - sufficient range and breadth of expertise
  - Clinical medicine (paediatrics and adolescent medicine, adult medicine, geriatrics)
  - Infectious disease
  - Epidemiology
  - Public health
  - Immunology
  - Microbiology
  - Vaccinology
  - Other (health economics, immunization program, and health systems and delivery clinical research (clinical trials design)...
- **Ex-officio and liaison members**
NITAG functioning

- Guidelines to specify mode of operation and conduct of meetings
  - Frequency
  - Open versus closed sessions
  - Decision making process and basis for review of evidence
  - Establishment of working groups
  - Administrative support
  - Recording and adequate communication on declarations of interest
  - Agenda setting
  - Communications and reporting of recommendations
- Direct communication with senior officials in Ministry of Health
- Evaluation
  - Process indicators
  - Implementation of recommendations and referrals to the NITAG prior to decision making = potential measures to assess impact
Sub-regional immunization strategies

- Sub-regional and regional strategies should provide a comprehensive evidence base for countries.

- Countries should not feel obligated to conduct analyses for all vaccines.

- Global, regional and sub-regional recommendations should be adapted to the national level.
Final considerations

- Robust, transparent decision-making structures and processes are essential.

- NITAGs are important resources to improve process, its transparency and increase credibility.

- Global, regional and sub-regional recommendations should be considered and adapted.

- Strengthening the decisionmaking process implies both NITAG strengthening and immunization program capacity building.
If you want to know more about...

- The PAHO/WHO Provac initiative

- The Provac toolkit
  http://www.provac-toolkit.com/

- Partners
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